Development 008 Pending Component Name:	Eystustion Tools		Accountability																					
US Department of Housing and Urban Development OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending Component N	1	9	Post Man	Cause	#WA.	ANA	#N/A	#N/A	V)/4#	470	¥N#	#N/A	#WA	Y/N#	#WA	#WA	To the second se	Value	#WA	#WA	#N/A	#WA	#WA	#N/A
FILS	Outcome	9	Impact																					
rogram: Period: Int Date:		3	t YED								-												-	
HUD Program: EAD TRAI Period: Start Date: End Date:		4	Post	WALL OF THE PARTY	#N/A	#WA	#N#	ANA		#N#	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	WW#	#WA	WW#		VALE .	WW#	YN#	#N/#	ANA	WW#	¥N/¥
Applicant Name: University of Cincinnati Project Name: SS OF HUD REQUIRED Le Project Type: Technical Study Instruction Type: NA	Services or Activities Outputs	3	Programming																					
Applicant Name: Project Name: Project Type: Construction Type:	1	2	Planning								arti-104											,		
eLogic Model TM	11		Policy														.4.				1			

US Department of Housing and Urban Development OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending Component Name:	Menter Englander Toda	2	Accountability	#N/A		¥N#		#NA	#W#	#N/A		#NA		¥N#	#N/A	#W#	#N/A	WA.	¥Z4	YA.	#WA	AZ#	
LTS	0.000	9	Impact	# 5							•)*				
HUD Program: LEAD TRAI Period: Start Date: End Date:	- Frank	7 100	Rm Post	#NA		#WA		Y/N#	#N/A	#N/A		WW#		#N/A	AN#	#WA	#WA	W#	#NA	#N/A	#WA	#WA	
Project Name: University of Cincinnati Project Name: SS OF HUD REQUIRED L Project Type: Technical Study struction Type: NA	Services or Activities Outputs	3	Programming										14										
Applicant Name: Project Name: Project Type: Construction Type:	1.	2	Planning		•		1																
eLogic Model [™]	28	•	Policy																				

D. Frequency of Collection B. Where Data Maintained Freme Tot A. Tools for Measurement Specialized database Statistical database Accountability Testing results E. Processing of Data Weekly Component Name: C. Source of Data 0 OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending US Department of Housing and Urban Development Pen L Boat 1510 WAN. YN# WAN. WNA WA. YN# YN# VX# YN Y. ¥N¥ WA. YN M A/N ××× X Y 8 100 Year 2 Impact ew- Persons trained new-Persons trained **L**13 Post VID Project Name: Guiversity of Cincinnati HUD Program: Project Name: SS OF HUD REQUIRED LEAD TRA Period: Project Type: Technical Study Start Date: End Date: **Participants Participants** Sessions Sessions #NA WAY. #N/A YN# #WA WAN# ¥N/¥ *NA #NA YN. WAN# WWW. WWW ANA VX# ¥N# 8 30 9 2 There is a need for Outreach and education -Programming Outreach and education articipants recruited articipants recruited ž Sessions Sessions Planning There is a need for (Applicant Name: effective and less costly methods to identify) and control identify) and control housing related lead effective and less costly methods to Construction Type: evaluate (test for, evaluate (test for, more efficient, hazards. hazards. Policy C.5 B.6 B.6 eLogic Model⁷⁸ CLA

	Evaluation Tools	The second secon	Accountability																							
of Housing and Urba I 2535-0114 exp.	0.00 L	9	Par Post YTD	W.A.	NA.	#WA	#WA	ANA	#N/A	W A		N/4	AWA	W.A	#WA	YNA	#NA		AN#	#WA	The state of the s	FWA	#N/A	#N/A	W.A	
LTS	Outcome	5	Impact																							
Cincinnati HUD Program: EQUIRED LEAD TRA Period: Start Date: End Date:	ement,	4	Bra - Rost II TID	AWA	ANA	YPH.	#MA	ANA.	#N/A	W.A.		ANN.	#N/A	SN/A	WA	#N/A	#N/A	BNIA	- CAM	MNA	A/C#		#NA	WA.	#NA	
Project Name: University of Cincinnati Project Name: SS OF HUD REQUIRED L Project Type: Technical Study struction Type: NA	Sentres or Activities Outputs	3	Programming																							
Applicant Name: University of Project Name: SS OF HUD RI Project Type: Technical Construction Type: NA		2	Planning																							_
eLogic Model ^{Tu}	11	-	Policy																							
						•					•		_			-										

																٠											
an Development 2008 Pending Component Name:	Evaluation Tools	7	Accountability																						_		
US Department of Housing and Urban Development OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending Component Na		9	Ros Rost YID.	ANA.	Y74	Y74	-	VALUE	#N/A	#WA	N/N#		#FUA	WA		Y/N#	YZ#	*NA	Y/N#	ANA	ANA	W.A	ANA	4N4	V/M	AW#	
LTS	Outcome	9	Impact									•			2010												
HUD Program: EAD TRA Period: Start Date: End Date:		1	Branch Post YTD	TIME I	W.A	#WA	*****	VAM	W.A	#WA	#N/A		WA	#N/A		#N/A	#WA	#WA	Y/V#	A/N#	AWA	WNA	WWA	Y/V#	YN#	BNA	
Project Name: University of Cincinnati Project Name: SS OF HUD REQUIRED LEAD T Project Type: Technical Study struction Type: NA	Sentces or Addriller/Dutputs		Programming					•								*											
Applicant Name: Project Name: Project Type: Construction Type:	1	2	Flanning																	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	,				_
eLogic Model™	12	-	Policy		5								2.														

n Development 2008 Pending Component Name:	Evaluation Tools	2	Accountability									,																	
US Department of Housing and Urban Development OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending Component Na		9		#WA	400	WAY	#N/A	44174	-	#N/A	MVA		#WA	WA	ANA	*NA		508	#N/A	_	Val.	AWA	*NA	ANIA	Care	#N/A	Y/7-t#	#WA	
LTS USI	Datrone	5	Impact			1																							
Cincinnati HUD Program: EQUIRED LEAD TRA Period: Start Date: End Date:		7	Pre Post YTD	#WA	ANIVA	V.	¥M#	W/V#		Y/N#	W.A	VIII.	VA.	¥N.¥	#N/A	4N#	ANN		V/V#	AUA		#N/A	¥/N#	#N/A		4N#	Y/N#	Y/N#	
University of SS OF HUD R Technica	Services or Activities Outputs	3	Programming												•														
Applicant Name: Project Name: Project Type: Construction Type:		2	Planning																										
eLogic Model ^{Tu}	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	-	Policy																										

.

.

2008 Pending Component Name: 0 7	Accountability			
and Urba	BUA BOAT VIEW BUYA BUYA BUYA BUYA BUYA	VINA# VINA# VINA# VINA#	#PU/A #PU/A #PU/A #PU/A	**************************************
Outcome 5	Impact			
HUD Program: EAD TRA Period: Start Date: End Date: 4	#NA #NA #NA #NA	ALVA ALVA ALVA ALVA ALVA ALVA ALVA ALVA	#NJA #NJA #NJA #NJA	#PUA #PUA #PUA
SS OF L	Programming			
Applicant Name: Project Name: Project Type: Construction Type:	Planning			
2 40	Policy			

Davidonment	2009 Banding	Component Name:	0	Perfection Tools	The state of the s	Accountability									
IS Department of Housing and Lithan Devaluation	OMB Approval 2535-0114 evn 2009 Banding	com obtain monday man	Year 2	Benne	The state of the s	Pre- J. Post J. 750	SPLIA	#WA	W.A	Y74	#NA	W.A.	AWA	#WA	
LTS				Outcomp	5	Impact									
HUD Program:	EAD TRA Period:	3	End Date:	1	4	Pre. Post VID	WA	#WA	#N/A	#WA	#WA	#WA	#WA	#WA	
Applicant Name: University of Cincinnati	Project Name: SS OF HUD REQUIRED LEAD TRA	Technical Study	W	Services or	3	Programming									
Applicant Name:	Project Name:	Project Type:	Construction Type:	1	2	Planning									_
eLogic Model™	2. S.	•		9 8	-	Policy									

D. Frequency of Collection B. Where Data Maintained A. Tools for Measurement Specialized database Accountability Statistical database Testing results E. Processing of Data Database Weekly Component Name: C. Source of Data OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending US Department of Housing and Urban Development Contract of the Contract of th Manuscript Submitted Accepted #WA AN. WA. WW. WA. ¥N¥ ¥N¥ YX. #NA 8 YN# YN# WA. XX * 8 WA. YN. Year 3 Submitted manuscript(s) for Impact ew- Persons trained new-Persons trained Final project report S LTS publication Project Name: SS OF HUD REQUIRED LEAD TRA Period:
Project Type: Technical Study Start Date: HUD Program: End Date: **Participants** Presentation Manuscript Participants Sessions Sessions #NA WA. WAY! #NA WAN# #NA YN# YN# ¥ ¥ ¥N* ¥N# #NA AN. 200 2 8 2 University of Cincinnati Presentation at professional Programming Outreach and education -Outreach and education articipants recruited articipants recruited Scientific manuscript submitted to HUD meeting prepared ž Sessions Sessions Planning There is a need for (evaluate (test for, identify) and control housing related lead identify) and control housing related lead Applicant Name: There is a need for more efficient, Construction Type: effective and less effective and less costly methods to costly methods to evaluate (test for. more efficient, hazards. hazards. Policy B.6 B.6 eLogic ModelTM 4117 C.5 F.2

Accountability OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending Component Name: US Department of Housing and Urban Development Bre Post VIII NA. WAY WA. WA/A V/V# WA. WA. ANA #NA ¥N. AN# WAY. #NA ¥N¥ AN. ¥N# #NA ¥N# WAN# Year 3 Impact **L13** Previo Rose WYD Applicant Name: University of Cincinnati HUD Program: Project Name: SS OF HUD REQUIRED LEAD TRA Period: Project Type: Technical Study Start Date: End Date: #NA #NA #NA WAY #NA #NA WW. WWW ¥N* ANA *NA WAN. WN# ¥N# ANA # NA W Programming ž Construction Type: Planning eLogic ModelTM Policy CHA

Paramin Accountability OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending Component Name: US Department of Housing and Urban Development Provide Street WTD) ××× YN. YN# AN. YN# AN# ¥V¥ WANA WA/A WA/A WA. ¥N# WAY ¥N# YN# Year 3 Impact 2 LTS Pre | Post | YID Applicant Name: University of Cincinnati HUD Program: Project Name: SS OF HUD REQUIRED LEAD TRA Period: Project Type: Technical Study Start Date: End Date: ¥N¥ WN.A AN# WAN. WWW A/N ¥N¥ #NA ¥N¥ #N/A ¥X* N/W #N/A #N/A Programming ž 1. Construction Type: Planning eLogic Model™ Policy CAMP

Accountability Component Name: 0 US Department of Housing and Urban Development OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending 6 Port YTD WA. WA. WAN. YN# ¥N¥ WAN# ¥ YN. ¥X YX* ¥N# YN. YN4 MA ANA Year 3 5 Impact LTS "Per Post | YER Applicant Name: University of Cincinnati HUD Program: Project Name: SS OF HUD REQUIRED LEAD TRA Period: Project Type: Technical Study Start Date: End Date: #WA #N/A #N/A WN/A WNA. W/V NA W ANA #N/A WA. W/V# WA/A #NA AN# #N/A WAY WA/A WAY WAY. #NA Programming ž Construction Type: Planning eLogic Model 74 Policy CAMP

an Development 2008 Pending Component Name:	Evaluates Torte	_	Accountability			,							-					-										
US Department of Housing and Urban Development OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending Component Na		9	Bre Fort YRD	#NA		NA.	N/N#	#N/A	4/1/4	VA.	BNA	¥N#	*NA	*NA	ANN	 *NA	W.		Y/V#		V218	#NA		NA .	#N/A	A/N#	Call	#N/A
LTS	Outro	5	Impact		•	-																						
HUD Program: EAD TRA Period: Start Date:		4	Pre Post VID	#NA		ANA A	Y/N#	ANA	ALASK ALASK	VAW.	AN4	WA/A	MVA	#WA	#WA	W.A	WAN/A		#WA	ANA		#WA	4/74#		ANA	#N/A		#W/A
University of Cincinnati SS OF HUD REQUIRED LEAD TRA Period: Technical Study Start Date: NA End Date:	Services or Articular Dispute	3	Programming			•													•									
Applicant Name: Project Name: Project Type: Construction Type:	Position Mark	2	Planning																									
eLogic Model **	1 E	-	Policy																									5

in Development	2008 Pending	Component Name:	0	Parter Tool		Accountability							,			
US Department of Housing and Urban Development	OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending		Year 3	1	8	Pre Rost VID		V/V#	#WA	WAY.	Y/N#	#N/A		W.A.	WAY.	
LTS				Descourse	5	Impact	2									
HUD Program:	EAD TRA Period:	Start Date:	End Date:	1	4	Par Post YID	AWA	#N/A	WINA	WANA	#N/A	#N/A		#WA	#WA	
Applicant Name: University of Cincinnati	Project Name: SS OF HUD REQUIRED LEAD TRA	Technical Study	NA A	Service of	3	Programming										
Applicant Name:	Project Name:	Project Type:	Construction Type:		2	Planning										
eLogic Model**			-	11	-	Policy										

.

A. Tools for Measurement B. Where Data Maintained D. Frequency of Collection Specialized database Accountability Statistical database Testing results E. Processing of Data Database Weekly Component Name: Source of Data 0 OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending US Department of Housing and Urban Development Post Miles YN# WA. NA. ¥N¥ WA. W/A YN# YN# ¥X ¥N¥ AV# W/V AWA YN# M AN #NA ANA Y. 9 Total Impact lew- Persons trained 2 LTS Post | YID HUD Program: Period: Start Date: End Date: **Participants** Presentation Manuscript Sessions WWW XX. ¥N¥ WW. W/VA W/A YN# YN# N. #NA XX. WA# AN. ¥N¥ Project Name: SS OF HUD REQUIRED LEAD TRA
Project Type: Technical Study 8 8 University of Cincinnati Presentation at professional Programming Outreach and education --Participants recruited Scientific manuscript submitted to HUD meeting prepared ž Sessions evaluate (test for, identify) and control Phousing related lead m Planning There is a need for C evaluate (test for, identify) and control housing related lead Applicant Name: Construction Type: more efficient, effective and less costly methods to There is a need for costly methods to effective and less more efficient. hazards. hazards. N 8.6 ●Logic Model™ B.6 Policy W. 1. 1. C.5 F.2

n Development 2008 Pending Component Name:	Eveluation Totals	Accountability				
US Department of Housing and Urban Development OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending Component Na	Street, Street	SPUA SPUA	SPUA SPUA SPUA	#PUA #PUA #PUA	#PUA #PUA #PUA #PUA #PUA	VIV4#
LTS	Section 2	Impact				
Cincinnati HUD Program: EQUIRED LEAD TRA Period: Start Date: End Date:	1	#NA #NA #NA #NA #NA #NA #NA	V748	V/748 V/748	V7-14 V7-14 V7-14 V7-14	BAUA
University of Cincinnati SS OF HUD REQUIRED L Technical Study	Activities or	Programming				
	2	Planning				
eLogic Model **	21	Policy				

Accountability US Department of Housing and Urban Development OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending Component Name: FINA STATES WA. #NA AV# YN. WAY WAN. AN# AN# AN# AN. ¥X¥ #NA WA. ANA Total Impact S LTS Bre . Post . YTO Applicant Name: University of Cincinnati HUD Program: Project Name: SS OF HUD REQUIRED LEAD TRA Period: Project Type: Technical Study End Date: YN# WAN# WAY. WAW #NA WA. #NA #N/A #WA #NA #N/A #NA #NA #NA WAW ¥N* Activities Or Programming 1 Construction Type: 2 Planning eLogic Model** Policy ALL S

Accountability US Department of Housing and Urban Development OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending Component Name: Ba Port VITO ¥N¥ ¥N¥ ¥N# N. #NA YN# WAN. WAN. Y. #NA V/V# YN. Y. YN# ¥N¥ Total Impact 'n LTS Pro L. Post YTD Start Date: End Date: Applicant Name: University of Cincinnati HUD Program: Project Name: SS OF HUD REQUIRED LEAD TRA Period: Project Type: Technical Study Start Date: WAN. #NA WAN# #N/A #N/A #NA WAN# WWA #NA #NA WANA #NA WAY. #NA WAY #NA WAN# Programming Construction Type: Planning eLogic ModelTM Policy CLIM

n Development 2008 Pending Component Name:	Entrate 7st	7	Accountability																					
US Department of Housing and Urban Development OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending Component Na	1	9	Post YID	YN.	#N/A	YN4	#N/A	AVA	#N/A	¥/N#		YN#	*NA	#N/A	V/V#	¥/N#	N/N#	ANA.	#WA	#N/A		Y.N.	#N/A	FNA
LTS	Outcome	- 2	Impact																					
incinnati HUD Program: QUIRED LEAD TRA Period: Study Start Date: End Date:	- Freens	П	Pre Post YTD	 - HAVA	¥N#	NA.	*NA	W.V.	AN#	NA.	*****	Y Nie	#N/A	YN#	#WA	W.A	#N/A	AN#	#N/A	WA.	ANNA	VALE	#WA	WA .
University of Cincinnati SS OF HUD REQUIRED L Technical Study NA	Activities Districts	3	Programming			•																		
Applicant Name: Project Name: Project Type: Construction Type:	Proties, Marie	2	Planning							-1-11								da Torre						
eLogic Model To	9 5	-	Policy																					

van Development	i, 2008 Pending Component Name:	0	Employee forth	7	Accountability		·			_		_					
US Department of Housing and Urban Development	OMB Approval 2535-0114 exp. 2008 Pending Component Na	Total	1	9	Bra Post YID	BNA	2	ANA.	#N/A		Y/N#	#NA	BWA	MA		#N/A	
LTS			Dutome	5	Impact		-						F 18				
<u>a</u>	Start Date:	End Date:		4	Both XID	ANN		#WA	#N/A		#WA	#N/A	#N/A	WALA		¥N*	
Applicant Name: University of Cincinnati	Technical Study	¥	Sentes of Activities Of Sentes	3	Programming												
Applicant Name:	Project Type:	Construction Type:	A STATE OF	2	Planning										_		_
eLogic Model TM			25		Policy												

200	HUD Goals		1	HUD Priorities
1000	Increase homeownership opportunities.	1	г	Providing Increased Homeownership and Rental Opportunities for
A.1	(1) Expand national homeownership opportunities.		۸	Low- and Moderate-Income Persons, Persons with Disabilities, the Elderly, Minorities, and Persons with Limited English Proficiency.
A.2	(2) Increase minority nomeownership.		В.	Improve our Nation's Communities. (1) Bring private capital into distressed communities.
A.3	Increase homeownership opportunities. (3) Make the home-buying process less complicated and less expensive.	200	В.:	Improve our Nation's Communities. (2) Finance business investments to grow new businesses.
A.4	Increase homeownership opportunities. (4) Reduce predatory lending practices through reform, education and enforcement.	1	в.:	Improve our Nation's Communities. (3) Maintain and expand existing businesses.
A.5	Increase homeownership opportunities. (5) Help HUD-assisted renters become homeowners.		8.4	Improve our Nation's Communities. (4) Create a pool of funds for new small and minority-owned businesse
A.6	Increase homeownership opportunities. (6) Keep existing homeowners from losing their homes.		B.5	improve our Nation's Communities. (5) Create decent jobs for low-income persons.
B.1	Promote Decent Affordable Housing. (1) Expand access to and availability of decent, affordable rental housing.		В.6	improve our Nation's Communities. (6) Improve the environmental health and safety of families living in public and privately owned housing.
8.2	Promote Decent Affordable Housing. (2) Improve the management accountability and physical quality of public and assisted housing.		8.7	improve our Nation's Communities. (7) Make communities more livable.
B.3	Promote Decent Affordable Housing. (3) Improve housing opportunities for the elderly and persons with disabilities.		C.1	Encouraging Accessible Design Features. (1) Visitability in new construction and substantial rehabilitation.
B.4	Promote Decent Affordable Housing. (4) Promote housing self-sufficiency.		C.2	Encouraging Accessible Design Features. (2) Universal Design.
B.5	Promote Decent Affordable Housing. (5) Facilitate more effective delivery of affordable housing by reforming public housing and the Housing Choice Voucher program.	1	D	Providing Full and Equal Access to Grassroots Faith-Based and Other Community Organizations in HUD Program Implementation
C.1	Strengthen Communities. (1) Assist disaster recovery in the Gulf Coast region.	. 199	Ε	Participation of Minority-Serving Institutions (MSIs) in HUD Programs.
C.2	Strengthen Communities. (2) Enhance sustainability of communities by expanding economic opportunities.	7.4	F.1	Ending Chronic Homelessness. (1) Creation of affordable housing units, supportive housing, and group homes.
С.3	Strengthen Communities. (3) Foster a suitable living environment in communities by improving physical conditions and quality of life.		F.2	Ending Chronic Homelessness. (2) Establishment of a set-aside of units of affordable housing for the chronically homeless.
	Strengthen Communities. (4) End chronic homelessness and move homeless families and individuals to permanent housing.		F.3	Ending Chronic Homelessness. (3) Establishment of substance abuse treatment programs targeted to the homeless population.
	Strengthen Communities. (5) Mitigate housing conditions that threaten health.		F.4	Ending Chronic Homelessness. (4) Establishment of job training programs that will provide opportunities for economic self-sufficiency.
	Ensure Equal Opportunity in Housing. (1) Ensure access to a fair and effective administrative process to investigate and resolve complaints of discrimination.		F.5	Ending Chronic Homelessness. (5) Establishment of counseling programs that assist homeless persons in finding housing, managing finances, managing anger, and building interpersonal relationships.
0.2	Ensure Equal Opportunity in Housing. (2) Improve public awareness of rights and responsibilities under fair housing laws.		F.6	Ending Chronic Homelessness. (6) Provision of supportive services, such as health care assistance that will permit homeless individuals to become productive members of society.
0.3	Ensure Equal Opportunity in Housing. (3) Improve housing accessibility for persons with disabilities.		F 7	Ending Chronic Homelessness. (7) Provision of service coordinators or one-stop assistance centers that will ensure that chronically homeless persons have access to a variety of social services.
).4	Ensure Equal Opportunity in Housing. (4) Ensure that HUD-funded entities comply with fair housing and other civil rights laws.		G	Removal of Regulatory Barriers to Affordable Housing.
	Embrace High Standards of Ethics, Management, and Accountability. (1) Strategically manage human capital to increase employee satisfaction and improve HUD performance.		H.1	Promoting Energy Efficiency and Energy Star. (1) Replace older obsolete products and appliances with Energy Star- labeled products, when replacing existing products is more cost-effective than repair and /or the appliance is no longer in operating condition.
.2	Embrace High Standards of Ethics, Management, and Accountability. (2) Improve HUD's management and its internal controls to ensure program compliance and resolve audit issues.		1.2	Promoting Energy Efficiency and Energy Star. (2) Build new or rehabilitate existing single-family homes to Energy Star standards for new homes, or include combined heat and power in multifamily properties.
3	Embrace High Standards of Ethics, Management, and Accountability. (3) Improve accountability, service delivery, and customer service of HUD and its partners.		3	Promoting Energy Efficiency and Energy Star. 3) Meet the requirements for Energy Star qualified New Homes for gut ehabilitation or new construction of low-rise multifamily housing.
4	Embrace High Standards of Ethics, Management, and Accountability. (4) Capitalize on modernized technology to improve the delivery of HUD's core pusiness functions.			Promoting Energy Efficiency and Energy Star. 4) Meet ASHRAE 90.1-2004, Appendix G plus 20 percent.

F.1	Promote Participation of Faith-Based and Other Community Organizations. (1) Reduce barriers to faith-based and other community organizations.		1.3	Utilization and Promotion of FHA Insured Mortgages and Fair Lending Practices. (1) Provide low-and moderate-income households with information on FHA products as safe consumer alternatives to reduce costs and reliance on subprime lenders.
F.2	Promote Participation of Faith-Based and Other Community Organizations. (2) Conduct outreach and provide technical assistance to strengthen the capacity of faith-based and community organizations to attract partners and secure resources.	No.	1.2	Utilization and Promotion of FHA Insured Mortgages and Fair Lending Practices. (2) Provide consumers with information on Fair Lending and discriminatory lending practices in languages appropriate to the clientele being served.
	Promote Participation of Faith-Based and Other Community Organizations. (3) Encourage partnerships between faith-based and other community organizations and HUD's grantees and subgrantees.			



CAMP e Logic Model™

Column 2

PROBLEM, NEEDS, SITUATION

There is a need for more efficient, effective and less costly methods to evaluate (test for, identify) and control housing related lead hazards.

CAMP e Logic Model™	Click here to allow deletion of 'New' Activities
Column 3	
SERVICES OR ACTIVITIES/QUTPUTS	UNITS
Community participants meetings for input/feedback - Meetings	Meetings
new- Development of 1-hour classroom visual assessment course.	One Course Developed
new- Survey Instrument development and pilot testing.	Two Survey Instruments Developed
new- Development of SOJT module for incorporation into H-O modules of lead safe work practices training.	One SOJT Module Developed
Community participants meetings for input/feedback - Participants	Participants
Development of alternative or improved clearance methods – Lead	Methods
Housing assessment	Assessments
Housing inspection	Inspections
Housing interventions completed	Units
Outreach and education - Participants	Participants
Outreach and education - Sessions	Sessions
Participants recruited	Participants
Presentation at professional meeting prepared	Presentation
Samples analyzed	Samples analyzed
Samples collected	Samples collected
Scientific manuscript submitted to HUD	Manuscript
Staff trained	Staff trained
other	Other

CAMP e Logic Model™	Click here to allow deletion of 'New' Outcomes
Column 5	
ACHIEVEMENT OUTCOMES GOALS AND INDICATOR	UNITS.
Data analysis completed	Completed
new- Persons trained	100
new- New survey instruments developed.	Two Instruments Developed
new- New training module developed.	One Training Module
Enhanced utilization-housing-time occupied-continued occupancy	Months
Final project report	Accepted
Hazard assessment method developed – Reduced cost	Dollars
Hazard assessment method developed – Reduced time	Hours
Hazard assessment method developed – Other	Other
Hazard intervention protocols developed – Reduced cost	Dollars
Hazard intervention protocols developed – Reduced time	Hours
Hazard intervention protocols developed - Other	Other
New technologies developed	Technology
New/Improved assessment tool – Housing lead hazards	Tools
New/improved technologies developed - Reduced cost	Dollars
New/Improved technologies developed – Reduced time	Reduced hours
New/Improved technologies -precision/accuracy-% change in coefficient	nt of variation % change
Reduction in housing related lead hazards - Persons	Persons
Reduction in housing related lead hazards-reduced Pb-change above lifted floor dust	
Reduction in housing related lead hazards-reduced Pb-change above li sill dust	EPA standard % above standard
Reduction in housing related lead hazards-reduced Pb-change above I average soil	EPA standard % above standard
Reduction - Incidence/severity-blood lead level - % >= 10µg/dL	% >= 10µg/dL
Reduction – Incidence/severity-blood lead level – Avg. blood-Pb (µg/dL	
Reduction - Incidence/severity-blood lead level -% blood-Pb (µg/dL)	Avg. %
Submitted manuscript(s) accepted by HUD	Manuscript Accepted
Submitted manuscript(s) for publication	Manuscript Submitted
Submitted manuscript(s) published	Manuscript Published
other	other



CAMP eLogic Model™
A. Tools For Measurement Bank accounts
Construction log
Database
Enforcement log Financial aid log
Intake log
Interviews
Mgt. Info. System-automated Mgt. Info. System-manual
Outcome scale(s)
Phone log
Plans Pre-post tests
Post lesis
Program specific form(s)
Questionnaire
Recruitment log Survey
Technical assistance log
Time sheets
B. Where Data Maintained Agency database
Centralized database
Individual case records
Local precinct Public database
School
Specialized database
Tax Assessor database Training center
C. Source of Data
Audit report
Business licenses Certificate of Occupancy
Code violation reports
Counseling reports
Employment records Engineering reports
Environmental reports
Escrow accounts
Financial reports GED certification/diploma
Health records
HMIS
Inspection results Lease agreements
Legal documents
Loan monitoring reports
Mortgage documents Payment vouchers
Permits issued
Placements
Progress reports Referrals
Sale documents
Site reports
Statistics Tax assessments
Testing results
Waiting lists
Work plan reports D. Frequency of Collection
Daily
Weekly
Monthly Quarterly
Biannually
Annually
Upon incident E. Processing of Data
Computer spreadsheets
Flat file database
Manual tallies Relational database
National desirates

Statistical database

Response to Management Questions

Ŀ	House many residence bed the	Measure	Count/Amount
1	Many many units of nousing had interventions completed (unduplicated account)?	Units	
7	virial is the average cost to produce a successful lead hazard intervention or a secondary finding that could improve the effectiveness of the program?	Dollars	
က	What is the average anticipated savings in emergency room visits resulting from a successful lead hazard intervention?	Dollare	
4	What is the average anticipated savings in hospitalization days resulting from a successful lead hazard intervention?		
·ω	What is the average anticipated savings in sick days resulting from a successful lead hazard intervention?	College	
9	What is the average anticipated savings in symptom days resulting from a successful lead hazard intervention?	Collais	
7	What is the average intervention cost per housing unit?	Dollars	
o	What are the key findings reported in your manuscript to be submitted for publication?	CORMIN	
,			
တ	Describe the population you are serving in the space below:		
	If you are collecting client level data, identify the number of persons receiving		
유	How many persons receiving services are under the age of 6?	Persons	
=	How many persons receiving services are ages 6-17?	Dareone	
2	How many persons receiving services are ages 18-30?	Persons	
္ငါ;		Persons	
*		Persons	

		urte di del Galon mode positi positi por e popula a processi			
ic Model					
Explanation of Any Deviations From the Approved eLogic Model					
m the Appr					
ations Fro					
f Any Devi					
lanation o					
Exp					

Evaluation Process

These are standard requirements that HUD will expect every program manager receiving a grant to do as part of their project management.

- An evaluation process will be part of the on-going management of the program.
- Comparisons will be made between projected and actual numbers for both outputs and outcomes
- Deviations from projected outputs and outcomes will be documented and explained on space provided on the "Reporting" Tab.
 - Analyze data to determine relationship of outputs to outcomes; what outputs produce which outcomes.

The reporting requirements are specified in the program specific NOFA and your funding award.

HUD Will Use The Following Management Questions To Evaluate Your Program

- 1. How many units of housing had interventions completed (unduplicated account)?
- 2. What is the average cost to produce a successful lead hazard intervention or a secondary finding that could improve the effectiveness of the program?
- 3. What is the average anticipated savings in emergency room visits resulting from a successful lead hazard intervention?
 - 4. What is the average anticipated savings in hospitalization days resulting from a successful lead hazard intervention? 5. What is the average anticipated savings in sick days resulting from a successful lead hazard intervention?

 - 6. What is the average anticipated savings in symptom days resulting from a successful lead hazard intervention?
 - 7. What is the average intervention cost per housing unit?
- 8. What are the key findings reported in your manuscript to be submitted for publication?
 - Please describe the population you are serving?
- if you are collecting client level data, identify the number of persons receiving services:

- 10. How many persons receiving services are under the age of 6?
 - 11. How many persons receiving services are ages 6-17?
- 12. How many persons receiving services are ages 18-30?
 - 13. How many persons receiving services are ages 31-50? 14. How many persons receiving services are ages 51-61?
- 15. How many persons receiving services are age 62 and older?

Carter-Richmond Methodology

The above Management Questions developed for your program are based on the Carter-Richmond Methodology. A description of the Carter-Richmond Methodology appears in the General Section of the NOFA. 1 © The Accountable Agency – How to Evaluate the Effectiveness of Public and Private Programs," Reginald Carter, ISBN Number 9780978724924

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Lead Hazard Control

OMB Approval No. 2539-0015 (expires 11/30/2008)

Factor 1 Capacity Of The Applicant And Relevant Organizational Experience

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 17 hours. This includes the time for collecting, reviewing, and reporting the data. This information collection is collected during the application process and is used to select grantees under a competitive selection process. Section 1011 of Title X of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 authorizes this collection. Response to this request for information is required in order to receive the benefits to be derived. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this form unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

A. Key Personnel			
Name and Position Title (please include the organization position titles in addition to those shown). Resumes or position descriptions are to be included in appendix.	Percent of Time Proposed for this . Grant (HUD Funded or In- Kind)	Percent of Time to be spent on other LHC HUD grants	Percent of Time to be spent on other Activities
	Note: I	hese three columns sh	ould total 100%
A.1 Overall Project Director		,	
Name:	4		
Organization Position Title: Professor	25%		75%
Phone Number: 5			
Email:			
A.2 Advisor	To be hired	On staff	
Name:	4		
Organization Position Title: Professor	1%	23%	76%
Phone Number: Fax Number:	4		
Email:	<u> </u>	S Or other	
A.3 Other Advisor To be h	irea	On staff	
Name:	4	·	
Organization Position Title: Sr. Research Associate	5%	45%	35%
Phone Number: Fax Number:	4		
Email			
B. Partners			
Name of the organization or entity that partners or will partner with applicant and if partner will be subgrantee/subrecipient	Description of Commitment and Status	Proposed Activities T Conducted by Partr	
B.1 Name:	 		(ii Subgraity
Type of Organization	1		
Subgrantee/Subrecipient: Yes No	1		
☐ Current Partner ☐ Partnership to be developed	1		
B.2 Name:			
Type of Organization			1
Subgrantee/Subrecipient:			
□ Current Partner □ Partnership to be developed			
B.3 Name:			·
Type of Organization			
Subgrantee/Subrecipient: Yes No			
Current Partner Partnership to be developed			
B.4 Name:			***************************************
Type of Organization			
Subgrantee/Subrecipient: Yes No			
Current Partner Partnership to be developed			
B.5 Name:			
Type of Organization	11 11		1
Subgrantee/Subrecipient:			
Current Partner Partnership to be developed			
B.6 Name:			
Type of Organization Subgrantee/Subrecipient:			
B.7 Name:			
Type of Organization			
Subgrantee/Subrecipient: Yes No			
Current Partner Partnership to be developed	<u>l</u>		

Definitions:

Partner Name: Name of organization or entity that will partner with applicant in conducting program activities.

Type of Organization or Program: Health, Housing, Environmental, Community Development Department, Planning Department, Grassroots Faith-Based or Community-Based Organization, Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program, Financial Institution, Job Training and Economic Opportunity Organization, etc.

Description of Commitment: Memorandum of Understanding/Agreement, Contract, Subgrantees, Letter, etc.

Proposed Activities to be Conducted by Partner: The type of activities that will be conducted by the grant partner in support of program efforts (i.e. rehabilitation, testing, training, education and outreach, specification writing, relocation, etc.)

Amount of HUD Grant Funds if Subgrantee/Subrecipient: The dollar amount subgrantee/subrecipient will be receiving for the services they will provide.

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Lead Hazard Control

OMB Approval No. 2539-0015 (expires 11/30/2008)

Factor 1 Capacity Of The Applicant And Relevant Organizational Experience

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 17 hours. This includes the time for collecting, reviewing, and reporting the data. This information collection is collected during the application process and is used to select grantees under a competitive selection process. Section 1011 of Title X of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 authorizes this collection. Response to this request for information is required in order to receive the benefits to be derived. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this form unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

A. Key Personnel					
Name and Position Title (please in titles in addition to those shown). are to be included in appendix.	nclude the organization position Resumes or position descriptions	Percent of Time Proposed for this Grant (HUD Funded or In- Kind)	Percent of Time to be spent on other LHC HUD grants	spent	ent of Time to be on other Activities
A.1 IT Manager		Note:	These three columns sh	ouid total	100%
Name:					
Organization Position Title: Reser	arch Associate-On Staff	-			
Phone Number:	Fax Number:	10%			90%
Email:		1			
A.2 Logistics Coordinator/Data En	ity	To be hired	On staff	-	
Name: 9				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Organization Position Title: Progr		10%			000/
Phone Number:	Fax Number:	10%			90%
Email:					
A.3 Other Consultant	☐ To be	hired	On staff		·
Name:					
Organization Position Title:		60%			40%
Phone Number:	Fax Number:	-			4070
Email:		<u> L</u>	ll		
B. Partners					
		Description of	Proposed Activities To		Amount of
Name of the organization or entity applicant and if partner will be sub-		Commitment and Status	Conducted by Partr	er	HUD Grant Funds (If Subgrant)
B.1 Name:					
Type of Organization		_			
Subgrantee/Subrecipient:	□ Yes □ No]			
Current Partner	Partnership to be developed				
B.2 Name;					
Type of Organization		1			
Subgrantee/Subrecipient:	Yes No	4			
☐ Current Partner	Partnership to be developed		······································		
B.3 Name:		4			
Type of Organization		4			
Subgrantee/Subrecipient:	Yes No	4			
Current Partner	Partnership to be developed	 			
B.4 Name:		4			
Type of Organization	Yes No	4			
Subgrantee/Subrecipient: Current Partner	Partnership to be developed	1 1			
B.5 Name:	1 1 dialeiship to be developed	 			
Type of Organization		1			
Subgrantee/Subrecipient:	Yes No	1			
☐ Current Partner	Partnership to be developed	1			
B.6 Name:	. diamonalip to be developed	 			
Type of Organization		1			
Subgrantee/Subrecipient:	☐ Yes ☐ No	1			
☐ Current Partner	Partnership to be developed	1			
B.7 Name:					
Type of Organization					
Subgrantee/Subrecipient:	☐ Yes ☐ No				
☐ Current Partner	Partnership to be developed				
Definitions:					

Partner Name: Name of organization or entity that will partner with applicant in conducting program activities.

Type of Organization or Program: Health, Housing, Environmental, Community Development Department, Planning Department, Grassroots Faith-Based or Community-Based Organization, Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program, Financial Institution, Job Training and Economic Opportunity Organization, etc.

Description of Commitment: Memorandum of Understanding/Agreement, Contract, Subgrantees, Letter, etc.

Proposed Activities to be Conducted by Partner: The type of activities that will be conducted by the grant partner in support of program efforts (i.e. rehabilitation, testing, training, education and outreach, specification writing, relocation, etc.)

Amount of HUD Grant Funds if Subgrantee/Subrecipient: The dollar amount subgrantee/subrecipient will be receiving for the services they will provide.

ATTACHMENTS FORM

Instructions: On this form, you will attach the various files that make up your grant application. Please consult with the appropriate Agency Guidelines for more information about each needed file. Please remember that any files you attach must be in the document format and named as specified in the Guidelines.

Important: Please attach your files in the proper sequence. See the appropriate Agency Guidelines for details.

1) Please attach Attachment 1	lAbstract.pdf	Add Attachment	Delete Attachment	View Attachment .
2) Please attach Attachment 2	2RatingFactors.pdf	Add Attachment	Delete Attachment	View Attachment
3) Please attach Attachment 3	3RequiredMaterial.pdf	Add Attachment	Delete Attachment	View Attachment
4) Please attach Attachment 4	4OptionalMaterial.pdf	Add Attachment	Delete Attachment	View Attachment
5) Please attach Attachment 5	5RequiredFormsandBudgetMater:	Add Altachment	Delete Attachment	View Attachment
6) Please attach Attachment 6		Add Attachment	Delete Attachment	View Attachment
7) Please attach Attachment 7		Add Attachment	Delete Altachment	View Attachment
8) Please attach Attachment 8	·	Add Attachment	Delete Attachment	View Attachment
9) Please attach Attachment 9		Add Attachment	Delete Attachment	View Attachment
10) Please attach Attachment 10		Add Attachment	Delete Attachment	View Attachment
11) Please attach Attachment 11		Add Attachment	Delete Attachment	View Attachment
12) Please attach Attachment 12		Add Attachment	Delete Attachment	View Attachment
13) Please attach Attachment 13		Add Attachment	Delete Attachment	View Attachment
14) Please attach Attachment 14		Add Attachment	Delete Attachment	View Attachment
15) Please attach Attachment 15		Add Attachment	Delete Attachment	View Attachment

Close Form	ekise	STAIRC	est.	NASS	2000	2000	33763	000	5500	St. Ac	L0543	200
CINCA MARIN		4		85	30		7.8	72	73	823		883
			ΝĚ	n	10	80	8-6	ed)	o.	10		. 1
LIVOU I UIIII	SQ.		23	84	78	9.40	848	8			400	٧.

Next

Print Page

About

America's Affordable Communities	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	(exp. 03/31/2010)
Initiative	und Orban Dovelopmon	
* Organization Name:		
University of Cincinnati		

Questionnaire for HUD's initiative on Removal of Regulatory Barriers

Part A. Local Jurisdictions. Counties Exercising Land Use and Building Regulatory Authority and Other Applicants Applying for Projects Located in such Jurisdictions or Counties [Collectively, Jurisdiction]

	1	2
1. Does your jurisdiction's comprehensive plan (or in the case of a tribe or TDHE, a local Indian Housing Plan) include a "housing element"? A local comprehensive plan means the adopted official statement of a legislative body of a local government that sets forth (in words, maps, illustrations, and/or tables) goals, policies, and guidelines intended to direct the present and future physical, social, and economic development that occurs within its planning jurisdiction and that includes a unified physical plan for the public development of land and water. If your jurisdiction does not have a local comprehensive plan with a "housing element," please enter no. If no, skip to question # 4.	⊠ No	Yes
2. If your jurisdiction has a comprehensive plan with a housing element, does the plan provide estimates of current and anticipated housing needs, taking into account the anticipated growth of the region, for existing and future residents, including low, moderate and middle income families, for at least the next five years?	□ No	Yes
3. Does your zoning ordinance and map, development and subdivision regulations or other land use controls conform to the jurisdiction's comprehensive plan regarding housing needs by providing: a) sufficient land use and density categories (multifamily housing, duplexes, small lot homes and other similar elements); and, b) sufficient land zoned or mapped "as of right" in these categories, that can permit the building of affordable housing addressing the needs identified in the plan? (For purposes of this notice, "as-of-right," as applied to zoning, means uses and development standards that are determined in advance and specifically authorized by the zoning ordinance. The ordinance is largely self-enforcing because little or no discretion occurs in its administration.). If the jurisdiction has chosen not to have either zoning, or other development controls that have varying standards based upon districts or zones, the applicant may also enter yes.	□ No	☐ Yes
Does your jurisdiction's zoning ordinance set minimum building size requirements that exceed the local housing or health code or is otherwise not based upon explicit health standards?	☐ Yes	⊠ No

Page 1 of 5

OMB approval no. 2510-0013 (exp. 03/31/2010)

5.	If your jurisdiction has development impact fees, are the fees specified and calculated under local or state statutory criteria? If no, skip to question #7. Alternatively, if your jurisdiction does not have impact fees, you may enter yes.	□ No	⊠ Yes
	If yes to question #5, does the statute provide criteria that sets standards for the allowable type of *capital investments that have a direct relationship between the fee and the development (nexus), and a method for fee calculation?	□ No	Yes
7.	If your jurisdiction has impact or other significant fees, does the jurisdiction provide waivers of these fees for affordable housing?	□ No	Yes
8.	Has your jurisdiction adopted specific building code language regarding housing rehabilitation that encourages such rehabilitation through gradated regulatory requirements applicable as different levels of work are performed in existing buildings? Such code language increases regulatory requirements (the additional improvements required as a matter of regulatory policy) in proportion to the extent of rehabilitation that an owner/developer chooses to do on a voluntary basis. For further information see HUD publication: "Smart Codes in Your Community: A Guide to Building Rehabilitation Codes" (www.huduser.org/publications/destech/smartcodes.html)	⊠ No	☐ Yes
9.	Does your jurisdiction use a recent version (i.e. published within the last 5 years or, if no recent version has been published, the last version published) of one of the nationally recognized model building codes (i.e. the International Code Council (ICC), the Building Officials and Code Administrators International (BOCA), the Southern Building Code Congress International (SBCI), the International Conference of Building Officials (ICBO), the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA)) without significant technical amendment or modification? In the case of a tribe or TDHE, has a recent version of one of the model building codes as described above been adopted or, alternatively, has the tribe or TDHE adopted a building code that is substantially equivalent to one or more of the recognized model building codes?	□ No	⊠ Yes
	Alternatively, if a significant technical amendment has been made to the above model codes, can the jurisdiction supply supporting data that the amendments do not negatively impact affordability?		
10.	Does your jurisdiction's zoning ordinance or land use regulations permit manufactured (HUD-Code) housing "as of right" in all residential districts and zoning classifications in which similar site-built housing is permitted, subject to design, density, building size, foundation requirements, and other similar requirements applicable to other housing that will be deemed realty, irrespective of the method of production?	□ No	⊠ Yes
	l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		, }

Page 2 of 5

OMB approval no. 2510-0013 (exp. 03/31/2010)

11.	Within the past five years, has a jurisdiction official (i.e., chief executive, mayor, county chairman, city manager, administrator, or a tribally recognized official, etc.), the local legislative body, or planning commission, directly, or in partnership with major private or public stakeholders, convened or funded comprehensive studies, commissions, or hearings, or has the jurisdiction established a formal ongoing process, to review the rules, regulations, development standards, and processes of the jurisdiction to assess their impact on the supply of affordable housing?	□ No	⊠ Yes
12.	Within the past five years, has the jurisdiction initiated major regulatory reforms either as a result of the above study or as a result of information identified in the barrier component of the jurisdiction's "HUD Consolidated Plan?" If yes, attach a brief list of these major regulatory reforms.	⊠ No	☐ Yes
	(If you have attachments that are electronic files please scroll to bottom of page 5 and attach. For information that is not in an electronic format use the eFax method. See the General Section Instructions for eFaxing.)		
13.	Within the past five years has your jurisdiction modified infrastructure standards and/or authorized the use of new infrastructure technologies (e.g. water, sewer, street width) to significantly reduce the cost of housing?	⊠ No	Yes
14.	Does your jurisdiction give "as-of-right" density bonuses sufficient to offset the cost of building below market units as an incentive for any market rate residential development that includes a portion of affordable housing? (As applied to density bonuses, "as of right" means a density bonus granted for a fixed percentage or number of additional market rate dwelling units in exchange for the provision of a fixed number or percentage of affordable dwelling units and without the use of discretion in determining the number of additional market rate units.)	⊠ No	☐ Yes
15.	Has your jurisdiction established a single, consolidated permit application process for housing development that includes building, zoning, engineering, environmental, and related permits? Alternatively, does your jurisdiction conduct concurrent, not sequential, reviews for all required permits and approvals?	□ No	⊠ Yes
16.	Does your jurisdiction provide for expedited or "fast track" permitting and approvals for all affordable housing projects in your community?	⊠ No	☐ Yes
17.	Has your jurisdiction established time limits for government review and approval or disapproval of development permits in which failure to act, after the application is deemed complete, by the government within the designated time period, results in automatic approval?	⊠ No	☐ Yes
	Does your jurisdiction allow "accessory apartments" either as: a) a special exception or conditional use in all single-family residential zones or, b) "as of right" in a majority of residential districts otherwise zoned for single-family housing?	□ No	⊠ Yes
19.	Does your jurisdiction have an explicit policy that adjusts or waives existing parking requirements for all affordable housing developments?	⊠ No	☐ Yes
20.	Does your jurisdiction require affordable housing projects to undergo public review or special hearings when the project is otherwise in full compliance with the zoning ordinance and other development regulations?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
 	Total Points:		

Page 3 of 5

Previous

Next

Print Page

About

OMB approval no. 2510-0013 (exp. 03/31/2010)

Part B. State Agencies and Departments or Other Applicants for Projects Located in Unincorporated Areas or Areas Otherwise Not Covered in Part A

			1	2
	1.	Does your state, either in its planning and zoning enabling legislation or in any other legislation, require localities regulating development have a comprehensive plan with a "housing element?" If no, skip to question # 4	□ No	☐ Yes
	2.	Does your state require that a local jurisdiction's comprehensive plan estimate current and anticipated housing needs, taking into account the anticipated growth of the region, for existing and future residents, including low, moderate, and middle income families, for at least the next five years?	□ No	☐ Yes
	3.	Does your state's zoning enabling legislation require that a local jurisdiction's zoning ordinance have a) sufficient land use and density categories (multifamily housing, duplexes, small lot homes and other similar elements); and, b) sufficient land zoned or mapped in these categories, that can permit the building of affordable housing that addresses the needs identified in the comprehensive plan?	□ No	☐ Yes
	4.	Does your state have an agency or office that includes a specific mission to determine whether local governments have policies or procedures that are raising costs or otherwise discouraging affordable housing?	.□ No	☐ Yes
	5.	Does your state have a legal or administrative requirement that local governments undertake periodic self-evaluation of regulations and processes to assess their impact upon housing affordability address these barriers to affordability?	□ No	☐ Yes
***************************************	6.	Does your state have a technical assistance or education program for local jurisdictions that includes assisting them in identifying regulatory barriers and in recommending strategies to local governments for their removal?	□ No	☐ Yes
	7.	Does your state have specific enabling legislation for local impact fees? If no skip to question #9.	□ No	☐ Yes
	8.	If yes to the question #7, does the state statute provide criteria that sets standards for the allowable type of capital investments that have a direct relationship between the fee and the development (nexus) and a method for fee calculation?	□ No	☐ Yes
***	9.	Does your state provide significant financial assistance to local governments for housing, community development and/or transportation that includes funding prioritization or linking funding on the basisof local regulatory barrier removal activities?	□ No ·	Yes

Page 4 of 5

OMB approval no. 2510-0013 (exp. 03/31/2010)

10.	Does your state have a mandatory state-wide building code that a) does not permit local technical amendments and b) uses a recent version (i.e. published within the last five years or, if no recent version has been published, the last version published) of one of the nationally recognized model building codes (i.e. the International Code Council (ICC), the Building Officials and Code Administrators International (BOCA), the Southern Building Code Congress International (SBCI), the International Conference of Building Officials (ICBO), the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA)) without significant technical amendment or modification? Alternatively, if the state has made significant technical amendment to the model code, can the state supply supporting data that the amendments do not negatively impact affordability?	□ No	Yes
11.	Has your jurisdiction adopted specific building code language regarding housing rehabilitation that encourages such rehabilitation through gradated regulatory requirements applicable as different levels of work are performed in existing buildings? Such code language increases regulatory requirements (the additional improvements required as a matter of regulatory policy) in proportion to the extent of rehabilitation that an owner/developer chooses to do on a voluntary basis. For further information see HUD publication: "Smart Codes in Your Community: A Guide to Building Rehabilitation Codes" (www.huduser.org/publications/destech/smartcodes.html)	□ No	☐ Yes
12.	Within the past five years has your state made any changes to its own processes or requirements to streamline or consolidate the state's own approval processes involving permits for water or wastewater, environmental review, or other State-administered permits or programs involving housing development? If yes, briefly list these changes. (If you have attachments that are electronic files please scroll to bottom of this page and attach. For information that is not in an electronic format use the eFax method. See the General Section Instructions for eFaxing.)	□ No	☐ Yes
13.	Within the past five years, has your state (i.e., Governor, legislature, planning department) directly or in partnership with major private or public stakeholders, convened or funded comprehensive studies, commissions, or panels to review state or local rules, regulations, development standards, and processes to assess their impact on the supply of affordable housing?	□ No	☐ Yes
14.	Within the past five years, has the state initiated major regulatory reforms either as a result of the above study or as a result of information identified in the barrier component of the states' "Consolidated Plan submitted to HUD?" If yes, briefly list these major regulatory reforms. (If you have attachments that are electronic files please scroll to bottom of this page and attach. For information that is not in an electronic format use the eFax method. See the General Section Instructions for eFaxing.)	□ No	☐ Yes
15.	Has the state undertaken any other actions regarding local jurisdiction's regulation of housing development including permitting, land use, building or subdivision regulations, or other related administrative procedures? If yes, briefly list these actions. (If you have attachments that are electronic files please scroll to bottom of this page and attach. For information that is not in an electronic format use the eFax method. See the General Section Instructions for eFaxing.)	□ No	☐ Yes
	Total Points:		
	Additional Information: Add Attachment Delete Attachment	nment View	Attachment

Page 5 of 5

Applicant/Recipient Disclosure/Update Report

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

OMB Approval No. 2510-0011 (exp. 08/31/2009)

1. Applicant/Recipient Name, Address, and Applicant Name: University of Cincinnati * Street1: University Hall, Sui Street2: 51 Goodman Drive * City: Cincinnati County: Hamilton				
* Applicant Name: University of Cincinnati * Street1: University Hall, Sui Street2: 51 Goodman Drive * City: Cincinnati County: Hamilton				
University of Cincinnati *Street1: University Hall, Sui Street2: 51 Goodman Drive *City: Cincinnati County: Hamilton	te 530			
* Street1: University Hall, Sui Street2: 51 Goodman Drive * City: Cincinnati County: Hamilton	te 530			
Street2: 51 Goodman Drive * City: Cincinnati County: Hamilton	te 530			
*City: Cincinnati County: Hamilton				
County: Hamilton				
* State: OH: Ohio				
* Zip Code: 45221-0222				
* Country: Us	SA: UNITED STATES			
* Phone : 513-558-1729				
Social Security Number or Employer ID No	umber: 1-316000989-A1			
. HUD Program Name:				
ead Technical Studies Grants				
icad recinited beddies Granes				
. Amount of HUD Assistance Requested/Re	eceived: \$ 467,5	63.00		
Project Name: An Efficacy Assessme Street1: University of Cincinnati		of Bead Safe K		
Street2: 2180 East Galbraith Road	1 ML 0510			
City: Cincinnati			3	
County: Hamilton				
State:	OH: Ohio			
Zip Code: 45237-1625				
Country: USA:	UNITED STATES			
rt I Threshold Determinations			**************************************	
Are you applying for assistance for a specterms do not include formula grants, such subsidy or CDBG block grants. (For furthe Sec. 4.3).	as public housing operating	jurisdiction of the E in this application,	or do you expect to receive a Department (HUD), involving in excess of \$200,000 during er information, see 24 CFR S	the project or activity this fiscal year (Oct.
Yes No		Yes	⊠ No	
rou answered " No " to either question 1	or 2. Stant You do not need to			
wever, you must sign the certification at the		complete the remaind	er or mis form.	
)				
•				

Part II Other Government Assistance Provided or Requested / Expected Sources and Use of Funds. Such assistance includes, but is not limited to, any grant, loan, subsidy, guarantee, insurance, payment, credit, or tax benefit. Department/State/Local Agency Name: * Government Agency Name: Government Agency Address: * Street1: Street2: * City: County: * State: * Zip Code: * Country: * Amount Requested/Provided: \$ * Type of Assistance: * Expected Uses of the Funds: Department/State/Local Agency Name: * Government Agency Name: Government Agency Address: * Street1: Street2: * City: County: * State: * Zip Code: * Country: * Type of Assistance: * Amount Requested/Provided: \$ * Expected Uses of the Funds: (Note: Use Additional pages if necessary.) Add Attachment Delete Attachment View Attachment Form HUD-2880 (3/99)

Part III Interested Parties. You must decide.

- 1. All developers, contractors, or consultants involved in the application for the assistance or in the planning, development, or implementation of the project or activity and
- 2. Any other person who has a financial interest in the project or activity for which the assistance is sought that exceeds \$50,000 or 10 percent of the assistance (whichever is lower).

* Alphabetical list of all persons with a reportable financial interest in the project or activity (For individuals, give the last name first)	* Social Security No. or Employee ID No.	* Type of Participati Project/Activi	••••	nancial Interest in ct/Activity (\$ and %)
			s	9
			\$	9
] \$ [
			\$[<u> </u>
			\$	×
(Note: Use Additional pages if necessary.)		Add Attachment	Delete Attachment	View Attachment
Certification				
Warning: If you knowingly make a false statement United States Code. In addition, any person who kn non-disclosure, is subject to civil money penalty not I certify that this information is true and complete.	owingly and materially viol	ates any required disclosu	enalties under Section ures of information, inc	1001 of Title 18 of the luding intentional
* Signature:			* Date: (mm/dd	/yy yy)
Heather Kinsman			07/03/20	008

Form HUD-2880 (3/99)

Print Page

About

Save Form to Print

Facsimile Transmittal

1212438108 - 8817

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Department Grants Management and Oversight OMB Approval No. 2525-0118 exp. Date (5/30/2008)

* Name of Doc	ent Transmitting: Nothing Faxed with this Aplicatoin
1. Applicant	ormation:
* Legal Name	University of Cincinnati
* Address:	
* Street1:	niversity Hall, Suite 530
Street2:	. Goodman Drive
* City:	ncinnati
County:	milton
* State:	: Ohio
* Zip Code:	*Country: USA: UNITED STATES
2. Catalog of	oderal Domestic Assistance Number:
* Organization	DUNS 14.902
Title: Lead	echnical Studies Grants
Program Con	nent:
3. Facsimile (ntact Information:
Department:	vironmental Health
Division:	ollege of Medicine
4. Name and	lephone number of person to be contacted on matters involving this facsimile.
Prefix:	Dr. * First Name: Judy
Middle Nam	
* Last Name:	Jarrell
Suffix:	
* Phone Num	513-558-1729
Fax Number	513-558-1756
* 5. Email:	Judy.Jarrell@uc.edu
* 6. What is ye	Transmittal? (Check one box per fax)
a. Certif	tion
* 7. How man	ages (including cover) are being faxed?

Form HUD-96011 (10/12/2004)

Close Form

Next

Print Page

About

OMB Number: 4040-0004 Expiration Date: 01/31/2009

Application	Application for Federal Assistance SF-424 Version 02						
* 1. Type of Submission: Preapplication Application		⊠ N	ew ontinuation		Revision, select appropriate letter(s): ther (Specify)		
* 3. Date Recei	/Corrected Application ved:	<u> </u>	evision icant Identifier:				
07/03/2008							
5a. Federal Entity Identifier: * 5b. Federal Award Identifier:							
State Use Only	у:			L			
6. Date Receive	ed by State:		7. State Application	lder	ntifier:		
8. APPLICANT	INFORMATION:						
* a. Legal Name	University of C	incinn	ati				
* b. Employer/T	axpayer Identification Nur 9-A1	mber (EIN	1/TIN):	•	c. Organizational DUNS:	*	
d. Address:							
* Street1:	University Ha	11, Su	ite 530				
Street2:	51 Goodman Dr	ive					
* City:	Cincinnati					N.	
County:	Hamilton						
* State:					OH: Ohio		
Province:							
* Country:					USA: UNITED STATES		
* Zip / Postal Co	de: 45221-0222						
e. Organization	nal Unit:						
Department Nan	ne:			D	ivision Name:		
Environment	al Health			c	ollege of Medicine		
f. Name and co	ntact information of pe	rson to	be contacted on ma	tter	s involving this application:		
Prefix:	Dr.]	* First Name:		Judy		
Middle Name:]		
* Last Name:	Jarrell	.,					
Suffix:]					
Title: Profess	or						
Organizational A						,	
	of Cincinnati						
* Telephone Nun					Fax Number: 513-558-1756		
* Email: Judy.	Jarrell@uc.edu						

OMB Number: 4040-0004 Expiration Date: 01/31/2009

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424	Version 02
9. Type of Applicant 1: Select Applicant Type:	
H: Public/State Controlled Institution of Higher Education]
Type of Applicant 2: Select Applicant Type:	_
]
Type of Applicant 3: Select Applicant Type:	
]
* Other (specify):	
* 10. Name of Federal Agency:	
US Department of Housing and Urban Development	
11. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number:	
14.902	
CFDA Title:	
Lead Technical Studies Grants	
* 12. Funding Opportunity Number:	
FR-5200-N-07	
* Title:	
Healthy Homes and Lead Technical Studies Program	
13. Competition Identification Number:	
LTS-07	
Title:	
14. Areas Affected by Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.):	
Ohio/Kentucky/Indiana	
15. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project:	
An Efficacy Assessment (Short- and Long-Term) of Lead Safe Renovation and Visual Assessment	1
Training	
Attach supporting documents as specified in agency instructions.	
Add Attachments Delete Attachments View Attachments	

Next

Print Page

About

OMB Number: 4040-0004 Expiration Date: 01/31/2009

Applicatio	n for Federal Assista	ance SF-424					Version 02
16. Congres	sional Districts Of:						
* a. Applicant				* b.	Prograi	m/Project 01, 02	
Attach an add	litional list of Program/Proje	ect Congressional Districts if	needed.				
		Add Attachment	Delete Att	achment	Viev	w Attachment	
17. Propose	d Project:						
* a. Start Date	11/01/2008				* b.	End Date: [10/30/2011]	
18. Estimate	d Funding (\$):						
* a. Federal		467,563.00		,,,,,			
* b. Applicant		0.00					
* c. State		0.00					
* d. Local		0.00					
* e. Other		0.00					
* f. Program li	ncome	0.00					
* g. TOTAL		467,563.00					
	m is not covered by E.O.	12372. Iny Federal Debt? (If "Yes"	¹ pravida av	nionation \			
Yes	No	Explanation	, provide ex	pianation.)			
herein are tro comply with a subject me to	ue, complete and accum iny resulting terms if I ac criminal, civil, or admin E certifications and assurance	ate to the best of my kn ccept an award. I am award istrative penalties. (U.S. C	owledge. I a s that any fai ode, Title 21	iso provide se, fictitious 8, Section 1	the res, or fr	tations** and (2) that the statements equired assurances** and agree to raudulent statements or claims may tained in the announcement or agency	
Authorized Re	epresentative:						
Prefix:		* First Nam	e: Mary		·		
Middle Name:						A Company of the Comp	
* Last Name:	Ucci						
Suffix:							
Title: Di	rector, Sponsored	Research Services					
Telephone Nu	mber: 513-556-2870			Fax Number	513	-556-4346	
Em a il: ospav	ward@uc.edu						
Signature of A	uthorized Representative:	Heather Kinsman		* Date Sig	ned:	07/03/2008	

Authorized for Local Reproduction

Standard Form 424 (Revised 10/2005) Prescribed by OMB Circular A-102

Print Page

About

OMB Number: 4040-0004 Expiration Date: 01/31/2009

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424	Version 02
* Applicant Federal Debt Delinquency Explanation	•
The following field should contain an explanation if the Applicant organization is delinquent on any Federal Debt. Maximum number of characters that can be entered is 4,000. Try and avoid extra spaces and carriage returns to maximize the availability of space.	